

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/871,610	06/01/2001	Glenn McGall	AFMX-P01-017	1735
42145	7590 05/26/2005		EXAM	INER
	VE IP GROUP		FORMAN, BETTY J	
ROPES & GRAY ONE INTERNATIONAL PLACE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, M	A 02110		1634	

DATE MAILED: 05/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/871,610	MCGALL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
BJ Forman	1634	

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 17 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. 🗵 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. 🛮 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>17 May 2005</u>. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). <u>AMENDMENTS</u> 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) ____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: _ Claim(s) rejected: 1-15. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ____ AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13. ☐ Other: .

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The claims are amended to define the method as being drawn to "reducing intr-support variability" wherein the nucleic acid array is "synthesized" on the support surface. These elements have not previously been considered. Therefore, the amendments would require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: On page 5 of the response, Applicant requests corrections be made to the record. Applicant notes that the first paragraph of the Final Office Action states "previous rejections......under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph are withdrawn in view of the amendments." Applicant correctly notes that the previous office action did not contain any rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112. and therefore, the statement is incorrect. The Office applications of the error.

On page 6 of the response, Applicant reiterates the previous arguments regarding motivation to combine the cited references. This argument was considered and discussed in the Final Office Action.

On pages 6-7, Applicant asserts that the Winkler nor Goldberg teach the newly amended claim limitations. The argument is noted. However, because the argument addresses unentered amendment, the argument is not relevant to the examined claims. Applicant traverses the arguments presented in the Final Office Action regarding the combined teaching of Gamble and Winkler. Applicant states that "specific channel surfaces on the surface of a substrate are not equivalent to nor interchangeable with the entire surface of a substrate". Applicant further traverses the "over broad analysis" of the principle of operation for the cited references. Applicant asserts, "clearly a selective channel method and a global substrate surface coating method are not equivalent, interchangeable, nor compatible". Applicant's traversal is noted. However, the arguments were addressed in the Final Office Action. Furthermore, the assertions are not supported by factual evidence of such inoperable combination. The courts have stated that arguments of counsel cannot take the place of evidence in the record. In re Schulze, 346 F.2d 600, 602, 145 USPQ 716, 718 (CCPA 1965).(MPEP 716.01(c). This is not to be considered an invitation to file a declaration because a declaration after final action would not be deemed timely.